

## Family of criminal decides whether he should be punished!

It should not get any crazier! Is this possible in our country, in the time we live in, or is it the sign of the time in which we live? A criminal who has a lot to answer for, is not judged by independent judges, but by his own family! The verdict is clear: you don't hand over your own family to a possible prison term of years. Given the nature of the offences he should be. They are so serious that they will affect his further life.

Many will fly up if this would be true, If it really would be true that family could decide what the next steps would be. Just an example of how ridiculous things could be settled. It is meant to make you realize that this is truly impossible...

Indeed. It should not get any crazier.

### The truth is: it can get worse!

That things can get worse is again demonstrated, *and that's the truth!* Unfortunately, the hard truth and many have been surprised by it. Freek Hagoort, a boy aged nearly 9 years old, died on March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2009, three days after he received his MMR and DTP vaccines. This is reported in various ways, through newspapers, books, radio and television and lectures... Freek's name unfortunately became known after his death. Before, he was an ordinary boy, like thousands of boys of his age around us, who play with friends, go to school, play football and perform all kinds of activities boys of his age do. A boy, member of a family, father, mother and a brother. No reason to become known as a 'special' boy. That, he became unfortunately, AFTER his death!

A special boy, whose death as a result of vaccination, is not recognized by the Government! Or, so it should not be brought out, not under any circumstances! If there indeed would appear in the newspapers that Freek deceased because of the national vaccination program, the wider implications are known. In that case the program even would be staggering more than it already did. Just before his death, the discussion about vaccinations had already flared up because of the HPV-vaccination 'feast', February 2009. He died at a particularly poor moment, just because of the media frenzy whether vaccines are reliable or not, do they have an added value, or are they downright dangerous. Those issues were in focus at that moment, so, the fact that a boy dies because of vaccinations, given for decades already, and because of which many suffer from damages (they sometimes don't even know the cause) could not have happened at a more inconvenient moment.

When Freek's parents contacted the general practitioner because the boy had become so very ill, they admitted that this reaction could be a result of the vaccinations, given the Thursday before. This has been confirmed a couple of times and one needed not to worry, he would get well.

Following his death, upon contacting RIVM (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment), the pathologist received a clear message that this death had nothing to do with vaccination, so he didn't have to do an investigation into it. Out of the question!

The parents were treated like capital offenders who had abused their own child and that was it!



To cut the corner: this is the story as we outline what has happened to the Hagoort-family and what they had to go through!

So: *at first*, according to the GP, Freek's being so ill *could* be related to the vaccinations (death was not considered at that moment), but *after his death*, this relation was *out of the question*, according to RIVM!

### Taking one's responsibility?

The indignation was high, even in people who did not even know this family. Outrageous, what's happening...


Investigations were started, but there were doubts at large: how independent were they? At all costs, no adverse facts may be spread regarding vaccinations. Not left, then right, business has to go on!

Pregnant women should receive the pertussis-vaccine during pregnancy, after its birth, the Hepatitis B vaccine is there ready for the newborn baby, and girls should receive the HPV-vaccine as well... the National Vaccination Program is launched for every new world-citizen. Parents are emphasized to go and get all vaccinations with their child. Do parents object? Then they are told loud and clear that they are irresponsible! Irresponsible? Who is irresponsible?

The Government, that wants the population filled up with vaccines, without properly knowing what it all brings about? Parents who think are truly responsible, because they review this matter from both sides.

If you do so, think about these matters yourself and thus taking responsibility instead of blindly accepting what is forced upon you, you're crazy! Than you will be labelled: irresponsible!

Freek's parents didn't know. Just like we didn't know when our children were small. You received the invitation and you went to the infant welfare center on the agreed date. In The



Concerned Mothers		
Antibiotic <i>Clarithomyne</i> contains:		
E460	Microcrystalline cellulose	red
E648	Flavour enhancer	
E104	Quinoline yellow	red
E463	Hydroxypropyl cellulose	red
E464	Hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose	red
E470b	Magnesium salts of fatty acids	green
E1201	Polyvinylpyrrolidon	red
E1520	Propyleneglycol	red
E551	Siliciumdioxide	green
E570	Fatty acid	green
E553b	Talcum	red
E171	Titanium dioxide	orange

Netherlands they are called 'Consultation Bureaus', but a better word would be: 'Consternation Bureaus' because they cause a lot of consternation when parents have different opinion. Recently I received a mail from a desperate mother. She could not find a sympathetic ear as she expressed her doubts. Even her own family doctor was open to her questions and doubts. Fortunately, many GP's think differently nowadays, but if you have to deal with one who thinks and talks according to the government, you have a challenge.

The Public Health Service had indicated that the responsibility would lay with the mother when she just wanted to postpone vaccination. In other words, if you don't stand firmly on both feet the needle is in your arm before you know it!

The story of this mother was: the little lady at issue, had been hospitalized at the age of seven weeks and had received antibiotics. A month later, she still had received the

vaccinations. This mother now had the idea that another vaccine would be too much, because the little one showed reactions.

We can understand the concern of this mother. What she didn't even know then, regarding antibiotics, was the fact that, they are not only literally 'out through the backdoor', they can also contain a lot of 'red' E-numbers.

Last week I received an e-mail from someone living in the south of The Netherlands in which she enclosed a list of ingredients she had discovered on the leaflet of an antibiotics treatment she had used. Really shocking! Then, thinking back of the little one, only a few months old, that already has had an antibiotics cure and a vaccine injected... with all the knowledge available, doesn't it make you think twice?

But, thinking is forbidden in our country. Our country? Worldwide!

*It has been decided for us* that the present use of herbs is, as of April 1<sup>st</sup> next year, not allowed anymore, that we need to take vaccines, that aspartame is not harmful, and who is whining about fluoride is not of this world, according to the masses who keep using it indiscriminately. Just some substances worth avoiding, but which, with the help of advertisements and 'some' governmental pressure, are literally forced down our throats! Anyone who thinks otherwise is 'crazy', 'backward' and 'irresponsible'.

The mother of the little girl, just wanted to postpone the combined vaccine shot for awhile, after the experience with the previous shot, in combination with the antibiotics.

But what, if she wouldn't had thought about it, and had blindly followed the herd, what, if she had listened to nagging of the Municipal Health Services that what she did was wrong? Why can only Freek die, three days after his vaccination? What if this happened to the little girl; what would have been the justification? Who would have taken responsibility?

The official bodies wouldn't be home, because the statement: 'Vaccinations are safe and effective' needs to be spread!

### **There are physicians who think differently and express themselves!**

There are physicians who take responsibility for man and do not only think of financial gain. Recently I have received a lot of stories by mail or telephone from people with various 'damages' to themselves or their children. All kinds of different complaints and defects are thus told; in many cases 'the pennies drop'...

"After vaccination 'this or that' happened, is a frequently heard phrase. Someone told me that her baby was diagnosed with meningitis a few days after receiving the combined diphtheria/whooping cough/tetanus/poliomyelitis shot. Hospitalization was the only option, especially when a bump as big as an egg appeared on the baby's head.

The doctor took the mother aside and told her that he spoke in a purely personal capacity and not on behalf of the hospital, but she **never had to administer vaccines anymore!**

How clear is this message?

Another, retired, doctor I spoke with during one of my lectures somewhere in our country, told me once that he always had had an antipathy toward vaccines. If, according to the government, people had to be injected the flu vaccine again, he never sent invitations to his patients. Who spontaneously came and insisted on getting a shot, this was not contradicted, but the doctor in question did not shoot the vaccine in the arm, but next to the arm and threw the syringe rapidly into the trashcan.

The patient was happy because he or she was supposedly armed against the flu. The doctor felt comfortable because he didn't insert foreign substances into someone's body!

It makes you laugh, hearing these kinds of stories, but actually: it is too sad for words that it has to be done this way. So there are indeed many doctors who have their doubts and act accordingly. The stories represent only a tip of a huge iceberg so the thought occurs quickly: 'What else is going on? How many people are there with doubts, complaints and remorse?'


After hearing all these stories and listening to the findings about Freek, it is only logical to come up with the idea that the general public needs to be told about this as little as possible. The less we are informed, the less people will think! But, this way you'll get desperate attempts to manipulate research, incorrect performance, wanting to control the test results. In my opinion, this is what RIVM has done in Freek's case. To pre-empt stating that his death had nothing to do with his earlier vaccinations, not having carried out any research and then coming out with the statement that it couldn't have been because of the shots?

Do we let ourselves be manipulated? Don't we really see the picture? Or is it nice and safe to keep walking behind the herd? To believe what's told in the newspapers and shown on television?

### **Speaking about truth!**

Personally I can draw from my many experiences that make you think: 'This is manipulated too!'

When my book was released (What you are not told about vaccinations), the publisher had



organized a press conference. During this press conference, SBS 6 (a Dutch broadcast organization) was there to film this event. Since I have dedicated my book to Freek, his parents were invited too. Dr. Jannes Koetsier also was present. He supports Freek's parents in the struggle to get the truth out in the open. So, I myself, Dr. Koetsier and Freek's mother were interviewed for a news show later that evening (Heart of the Netherlands). Soon I received a phone call that the broadcast was delayed and then nothing happened anymore. I cannot prove things, I have no prove in black and white! I just use my common sense and combine things:

What, if many people that night had heard of the publication of a book with a title that's quite startling, at the time of the flu 'pandemic' that didn't want to become a pandemic? During the nagging that especially pregnant women should be vaccinated? Then came the story of Freek again out in the open with the result that the

National Vaccination Program would be weakened even more, with as result that people's eyes would be opened even more.

Again, these are just my thoughts, but based on pure facts. Many examples can be given, and even more comments from people who mail me or talk to me during my lectures.

There are so many facts that should people start thinking about the 'independence' of the government in the process that's still going on about Freek!

The criminal judged by his own people

This slogan is really applicable to the whole story of Freek! The Dutch Ombudsman has successfully managed a follow-up study. This sounds good, not to cover up things, but getting the truth out in the open! Are we really going to get the truth, knowing that Lareb is appointed to do the revision research? What is Lareb? Lareb is the Dutch organization where adverse reactions are registered, regarding vaccinations. One of the members of the

scientific advisory board is Prof. J. Meulenbelt, Chairman, internist, intensivist, **RIVM**-toxicologist. Hm, where did we here the name of this organization again? Didn't **RIVM** recommend the pathologist not to look for vaccination damage, because that was out of the question? So my question is: 'Is it correct that the family may determine the final outcome?'

Wouldn't it be more fair if an *independent laboratory* in a foreign country conducted the investigation? People without any emotional ties or interests?

The national immunization program should be expanded, even more vaccines, more foreign substances into everyone's body, from before birth until death.

This brings me to a company that sells ecologically made coffins. Without preservatives and formaldehyde! It is inappropriate to laugh at this example, but you can only do so, when you can see the true folly of this. How are we buried?

Fact is that cemeteries can be cleared more slowly than in earlier times because we are so well preserved! How do we achieve that?

By listening carefully to everything that is brought to us by advertisements and by other means... As long as we continue running back and forth like a bunch of sleepy, dull sheep... As long as we keep saying that the government only wants the best for us...

As long as we keep believing in what pharmacy pumps into society and hence, into our bodies...

If only we continue to believe that entire stocks of cattle need to be vaccinated and drugged...

As long as this will remain our body of thought, the group of people that wishes the best for us, will always remain 'the nutcase' in society and the cause of death of many will never be revealed, because those in power are fond of manipulating the facts.

The truth cannot be spread; just keep them all stupid, give the people bread and games, so they will not complain!



Recently I heard a nice slogan:

*Trust in Allah, but always tie your camel!*

Or, what do you think about:

*Trust is good, but control is better!*

### **Control!**

Yes, supervision in a proper way, objective and pure! The research on Freek's case must be restarted... but pure; so, without people involved who determine the outcome in advance again! It was not the vaccine, what a fuss, and mostly: keep walking into the syringe that is held ready for you! Come on sheep, do not bleat, the big boss has the right intentions for you. But... what you do not know... at the end of the road is the slaughtering-table...

Anneke Bleeker

Bergen NH – THE NETHERLANDS  
September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2010

[www.verontrustemoeders.nl](http://www.verontrustemoeders.nl)

**Pictures:**

Picture Freek: received from Hagoort family, other pictures: © Anneke Bleeker. Anneke's pictures can be used together with the article, however Anneke's name needs to be mentioned.

**Links:**

**Wat je niet verteld wordt over vaccinaties  
aanvullende informatie, die de overheid is vergeten**

Anneke Bleeker

ISBN: 9789079872107

<http://www.succesboeken.nl/?ISBN=9789079872107&PC=AB24AD8F>

<http://www.verontrustemoeders.nl/2010.09.24%20Opnieuw%20onderzoek%20naar%20o%20verlijden%20jongen%20na%20vaccinatie.pdf>

<http://www.degezondepatient.nl/>

<http://www.meerwetenoverfreek.nl/>

<http://www.verontrustemoeders.nl/2010.09.26%20Organisatie%20LAREB.pdf>